S.O. 2.11 – Examine perspectives on the imposition of liberalism

Ch. 9 – Imposing liberalism

The Story Thus Far:

- So far this unit, we've talked about the roots of liberalism, impacts of and responses to classical liberalism (socialism, Marxism), the rejection of liberalism (communism, fascism), and the impact of ideologies in conflict (Cold War). In Chapter 9, we look at the *imposition* of liberalism and some of its effects
- Imposition of Liberalism: Warm Up

Bill 16 - Distracted Driving Legislation

- Driver distraction is a growing traffic safety concern among policy makers and the public. International studies have shown that 20 to 30 percent of collisions involve driver distraction. Alberta has taken a leadership role to address this serious traffic safety issue by recently passing Bill 16- the Traffic Safety (Distracted Driving) Amendment Act, 2010-to help make our roads safer.
- Highlights:
- Restricts drivers from:
 - using hand-held cell phones
 - texting or e-mailing
 - using electronic devices like laptop computers, video games, cameras, video entertainment displays and programming portable audio players (e.g., MP3 players)
 - entering information on GPS units
 - reading printed materials in the vehicle
 - writing, printing or sketching, and
 - personal grooming
- Complements the current driving without due care and attention legislation
- Applies to all vehicles as defined by the Traffic Safety Act including bicycles
- Applies to all roads in both urban and rural areas of the province

Questions:

•	Is this law necessary?
	Is the nunishment nec

- Is the punishment necessary? Sufficient?
- What is the desired result?
- Is there an alternative way to achieve the desired result?
- What will the effect of this legislation be?
- Is this an example of classical or modern liberal thinking?
- Should liberalism be imposed on everyone?

Imposing liberalism

•	Imposition (or imposing) means	$_{ extstyle }$ something on people whether they want it or
	not. Liberalism has been imposed on people a	t various points in history, sometimes with
	negative consequences	

Today, we'll look at the imposition of liberalism in Canada and in other countries

Aboriginal Experiences with Liberalism

•	As we know, conta	act between First Nation	s in Canada and European settlers	presented
	conflicting	and	Most European settlers b	rought with them
	valu	es and beliefs, while ma	ny First Nations believed in	ideas
-	Subsequently, valu	ues of liberalism would b	pe imposed on Aboriginals in an att	empt to
	t	hem into "mainstream"	Canadian society	

Different views on treaties

First Nations	Government of Canada
Treaties are agreements made between sovereign nations, upheld by oral tradition	Treaties are agreements made by interested parties, upheld by a written document
Relationship with land is collective, spiritual. Land	Land is a resource that can be owned by
provided by Creator, and people exist in harmony with the land	individuals for their own use
Treaties were established to share the land with	Treaties were established to clear way for
newcomers	European settlement

Attempts at Assimilation:

•	Resistance by Aboriginals to liberal values was not accepted by the Canadian government.	This
	led to policies of assimilation, a plan to impose adherence to liberal goals on Aboriginals	

Under these policies Aboriginals were supposed to give up their distinct cultures and traditions,
such as the

The Indian Act of 1876

•	This act was used by government to control the behaviour of First Nations peoples and remove their traditions and customs. They were encouraged to leave their Indian status to become "full" citizens of Canada. They were seen as "children" or of the state, who needed to be taken care of
•	The Indian Act took away their through its policies of assimilation into the more individualistic liberal society
•	Since 1876, the Indian Act has been amended several times, but has never been abolished. Some examples:
	■ 1884 – prohibited ceremonies (potlatch, etc.)
	■ 1951 – loss of Indian for women who married non-status men
	Paper proposed by gov't – sought to abolish all evidence of relations between Canada and First Nations. Purpose was to enable Aboriginals to become "free" members of Canada's liberal democracy, where the rights of the individual are more important than the collective
	 1969 – Aboriginals respond by publishing the Paper, which objected to what

they saw as the government's attempt to impose liberalism on them

- 1985 Women could keep or regain their status even after marrying a non-status man and children of such a marriage were granted status
- The Indian Act affected female identity because women were removed from their traditional positions of power and importance. Gender inequality was partially removed with the ruling in 1985 that reinstated their status rights

Land Claims and the Constitution

•	Aboriginal Land Claims are a major concern for Canada going forward	
•	The government has not signed treaties with many of Canada's First Nations – even though they were of their lands	
•	In 2007 there were 861 unsatisfied claims by 445 First Nations – with being added on average each year	
Case Study: The Nisga		
•	The Nisga Final Agreement Land Claim settlement in 2000:	

Nisga must grant reasonable access

Nisga granted complete control over their land

- Nisga laws that would restrict land use or resource use must be approved by the provincial and federal government
- Nisga must write a constitution to govern their people
- Important Aspects:
 - Must be approved by referendum (70% Nisga people must agree)
 - Ability to make laws, create public institutions (hospitals and schools) and separate police board
 - They are still subject to the ______ of Rights and Freedoms and Criminal Code
 - If Nisga law cannot conflict with provincial of federal law

The Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples and Healing Fund

- Findings were published in 1996 stated that Aboriginals in Canada must have the right to decide for themselves what they need including:
 - The creation of legislation recognizing the sovereignty of Aboriginal Peoples

- The creation of initiatives to address social, education, health, and housing needs
- Since 1996, many people have been critical of what they see as a lack of government action to address some of the recommendation's concerns
- One positive result of the Commission's recommendations was the creation of the *Aboriginal* Healing Foundation. Its mission is to encourage and support Aboriginals in their recovery from physical and sexual abuse that many suffered in the residential school system. To accomplish this, more than \$400 million was awarded to various programs across Canada. The Assembly of First Nations has called the program a success

Idle

Idle No More		
•	Idle No More Background	
•	Idle No More is an ongoing movement originating among the Aboriginal peoples in Canada comprising the First Nations, Métis and Inuit peoples and their non-Aboriginal supporters in Canada, and to a lesser extent, internationally.	
•	It has consisted of a number of political actions worldwide, inspired in part by the liquid diet hunger strike of Attawapiskat Chief Theresa and further coordinated via social media.	
•	A reaction to alleged legislative abuses of indigenous treaty rights by the current federal government, the movement takes particular issue with the recent omnibus bill Bill C-45.	
Idle No More Focus		
•	To date the movement has been particularly focused on:	
•	(A) The education and the of indigenous peoples through awareness and empowerment.	
•	(B) Encouraging knowledge sharing about indigenous sovereignty and protections.	
•	The press release also notes that "As a grassroots movement, clearly no political organization	

The imposition of liberalism in the world

speaks for Idle No More".

- Should liberalism be imposed on everybody?
- What if another country or the United Nations invaded Canada, took control here, and tried to impose an ideology on Canada?

- This sounds unlikely, yet millions of people around the world have experienced a similar situation. Some people in war-torn countries welcome peacekeepers, others do not
- Why should liberalism be imposed on those who do not want it?
- Two main reasons why one country may try and impose liberalism on another:
 - interest: imposing liberalism to eliminate or reduce terrorist threats or for reasons of economic interest
 - improve living conditions or to stop human rights violations

Imposing liberalism for National Interest

 At the end of WWI, U.S. President Wilson (14 Points) insisted that Germany and its allies had to agree to establish democratic governments as a condition of the peace treaties. His view was that democracy and self-determination had to be established in Europe as a basis for peace

Imposing liberalism by the use of force

- Some believe that if more countries embraced the ideology of liberal democracy, then the world would be a safer place. This belief was used to justify the "war on terror" after the 9/11 attacks. The U.S. and allies invaded Afghanistan to take the Taliban regime out of power (they had aided the 9/11 terrorists)
- Democratic elections were held in 2004
- The U.S. invaded Iraq in 2003 based on the same premise. However, the war in Iraq did not receive international approval

Imposing liberalism for humanitarian reasons

- Some people believe that liberal countries should not tolerate non-liberal countries that deny their citizens' human rights. Is intervention justified in these cases?
- Forceful intervention in a foreign country does not always guarantee improved living conditions for the citizens of that country. The U.S.-led war on terror was partly based on human rights issues. Under the Taliban, Afghan women were denied basic human rights, and Saddam Hussein's reign over Iraq was characterized by fear, crimes against humanity, and brutal torture tactics
- Reactions to the imposition of democracy
- Rwanda

- Western governments insisted that the country should have democratic elections. A
 coalition government was formed, and as a result conflicts between ethnic groups arose
 which led to the 1994 genocide
- One journalist compared the West's attempts at imposing liberal democracy on other countries to the colonial rulers who forced Aboriginal peoples to replace their own governing systems with colonial systems

Indonesia

- After WWII, it was ruled as a military dictatorship. In 1998, the government faced an economic crisis; the IMF denied financial support in an attempt to force the country to use more democratic means. The country's first parliamentary election was held in 1999
- While the country faces ongoing challenges, Indonesians seem to have embraced the values of liberal democracy

So...should liberalism be imposed?

- Depends on a lot of factors
- Tom Keating, a professor at the U of A, says that many of the violent conflicts in the world since WWI have occurred within countries such as Rwanda and Bosnia rather than between countries. Referring to Canada's foreign policy, Keating argues that the health of a country's political institutions depends on its own citizens, not on foreign intervention

Imposition Assignment:

- In small groups (1-4 max) do a quick research on a contemporary of historical example of a Western Nation that has attempted to impose Liberalism on another people
- A. Briefly summarize the case study/example
 - Who was involved?
 - When did it happen?
 - What happened?
 - Why did the western nation attempt to impose liberalism?
 - How did the people upon which liberalism was imposed react?
 - Was it successful?
- B. To what extent, and for whom, has the imposition of liberalism in your case study been successful?
- C. Was the imposition of Liberalism justifiable in your case study?